



International Public Notice

October 27, 2025

The United States of America-Federation of States



International Public Notice: The Stumping Questions

Since 1863, Presidents of the United States of America, Inc., have been issuing unconstitutional "Executive Orders" right, left, and sideways. That's 162 years-worth of Executive Orders. Thousands of Executive Orders have been issued, impacting every aspect of life in this country.

Now, with their funding cut off, the SCOTUS jerks awake and says, no, you can't use Executive Orders to rule by decree.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cg2_xFGbStA

Presidents have been doing this since 1863, but suddenly, SCOTUS says, "No!" Let's examine the situation a bit further.

The British Territorial Service Vendors have been using the pretense of "war" and assuming that the President's office as Commander-in-Chief gave him special "war powers" that allowed him to operate as a virtual dictator in time of war.

But that isn't what gives Trump dictatorial powers.

He has dictatorial powers as the captain of his ship, whether at war or at peace, and he is operating under the Law of the Sea, not the Law of the Land, that is, he isn't operating under The Constitution of the United States of America.

By keeping the "civil war" alive on paper in the watery jurisdictions of the sea, both Maritime and Admiralty, the British Perpetrators continued to rule by decree using the private corporate office of Commander-in-Chief to conduct their illicit commercial warfare.

They used Letters of Marque issued by the Pope to protect their own "shipping" and their Bar Attorneys, who by definition, are shipping clerks operating in maritime jurisdiction, making decisions about the disposal of "cargoes", issuing impound orders

disguised as warrants, collecting tariffs and customs duties.... but that began to erode and fall apart in 2013, when Pope Francis issued his Moto Proprio and ended the Letters of Marque that legalized their profiteering and inland piracy.

The Law of War doesn't apply and neither do merely assumed-to-exist "Executive Powers" claimed by Abraham Lincoln on the eve of declaring the bankruptcy of the United States of America, Inc., in April of 1863 --- but the Executive Powers of a ship's captain is another matter.

So it's all very nice of the SCOTUS to finally declare, no, you can't do that on land --- while failing to admit, or maybe even realize, that Donald Trump isn't on land.

He is operating the so-called Territorial Administrative States as Maritime provinces, just like Lincoln and every other President since Lincoln. In the imaginary realm of the Territorial Administrative "States" Trump is the captain sailing his ship made of paper under the Maritime Laws of the sea.

"Our" commandeered and privatized military has been paying lip-service to their Constitution while effectively evading it for a century and a half --- simply by staying at sea. Continually.

The illegal occupation of our country by our own unlawfully converted military acting under the influence and presumptions of the Lieber Code has led to more than a century and a half of Executive Orders --- but the basis for Lincoln's claim of such executive powers has never been publicly discussed until now.

Right now, in front of God and Everyone, today.

The very worst offenses involving the use of such Executive Orders did not occur during the Civil War; the worst abuses happened during the Administration of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, when more than 350 new "federal" agencies were added to a roster that already included the Department of Justice and the U.S. Marshals' Service. This created a vast "war fleet" of corporations under FDR's control.

This allowed the President of the British Territorial Subcontractor dba the United States of America, Inc., to act as a virtual dictator, allowing him to control transportation, commodity supplies (tariffs, rationing, coupons, etc.), food, drugs, communications, and other basic functions, amounting to a control grid over the entire economy and everyone within the borders of the country, using "federal" agencies.

The coercive use of Executive Orders and other naval protocols and controls had to be maintained by the facade of "war powers" claims, and resulted in issuing presidential "declarations of war" every two years, which is why we have had wars on poverty, drugs, and terrorism to name a few.

This was just another legalistic red herring to keep the Public and our soldiers and sailors from perceiving the actual situation and the actual source of all these "executive powers".

Lincoln was running a mercenary conflict benefitting the British Crown and all of it, from first to last, was fraudulent and illegal. His executive powers, like Donald Trump's, derived from sailing his "ship of state" as a ship's captain in the international jurisdiction of the sea.

Was it ever his ship? No. It was a ship of state that Lincoln commandeered under False Pretenses, one that he captured in gross breach of trust, under conditions of fraud and deceit and usurpation --- and all done to benefit the British Crown.

We know that now because there was: (1) no declaration of war from any Congress and (2) no peace treaty ending the Civil War, this latter fact serving as the excuse to continue claiming "war powers" and "emergency powers" and using "executive orders" --- all of which are not granted under any Constitution and were excused as internal administrative matters of the Service Providers. Until now.

As recently as the Obama Administration, Executive Orders have been used to overturn Posse Comitatus statutes. That's nothing new, so don't blame Trump and split along party lines. Look at the actual situation.

Where is the constitutional authority for "emergency powers", special "war powers" or executive orders? (Doesn't exist.)

Where in any federal constitution does it say that a President can unilaterally declare war? (No such power exists, but Lincoln did it.)

When were the American people given full and honest disclosure concerning the nature of the "Civil War" as a Mercenary Conflict? (Never.)

When were the American people told that their own military had been converted into a private mercenary service under the thumb of the British King? (Never.)

When were the Americans told that they were being signed up as British Subjects and ownership of their surnames, land, and other physical assets was being asserted by the British Crown? (Never.)

When were Americans told that the Roman Municipal Government was conferring Municipal citizenship on the estate of a lost British Merchant Mariner, who just happened to have the same exact name as the American victims? (Never.)

When were Americans told that they were accepting foreign citizenship obligations --- two (2) foreign citizenship obligations, one to serve the British King and one to serve the Pope? (Never.)

When was the Fourteenth Amendment ratified by the States of the Union? (Never.)

When was the Sixteenth Amendment ratified by the States of the Union? (Never.)

What was the nature of the document these "Amendments" were applied to? (A private Scottish Corporation Charter issued in Edinburgh in 1868, designed to look like The Constitution of the United States of America.)

We could go on and on with examples of unconstitutional operations and unconstitutional assumptions of power and actions taken against the interests of rank and file Americans and America as a country, too --- actions that defrauded and pillaged our people, at the hands of those hired to protect them, those who were contractually obligated to serve our people and provide "good faith service".

Now, suddenly, 160 years too late, the U.S. Supreme Court jerks awake and because Trump scares them, they decide 7:2 to severely truncate Donald Trump's use of Executive Orders, most especially striking down his ability to "federalize" State of State National Guard units to conduct police functions.

How are they going to stop him? He's not operating on the land. He's operating under the Law of the Sea as a captain of his corporate vessel, inhabiting a Maritime jurisdiction provided on land by Territorial Administrative States.

The U.S. Supreme Court may be required to revisit their much belated consideration of these issues, because -- ironically, the Old Switcher-roo Scheme that substituted British Territorial franchises to operate our State of State functions "for" us, means that the National Guards of these entities are employed by corporate franchises owned and operated by the United States of America, Inc.

They are privately owned and operated, not public.

This has been the problem ever since Lincoln. Our Federal Subcontractors have been "privatized" without any Notice to the American People, and as a result, nothing these corporations do is public. It's all clandestine, secret, and purportedly military --- but even the military has long been privatized and turned into a mercenary force.

Mr. Trump may have no constitutional authority to federalize State Militias, but the existing "State" National Guards are already federalized by the fact that they are organized as franchises of Trump's parent corporation.

Read that: no President acting under any Federal Constitution ever had the ability to "federalize" actual State Militias or National Guards and no ability to -- for example -- pull State National Guards into foreign combat situations -- however, the President of a Commercial Corporation certainly does have the power to deploy franchise corporation resources.

And therein lies the entire rub: an arbitrary and illegal seizure of public institutions, assets and personnel for private gain, an illegal occupation of our country by what appeared to be our own military forces.

The State-of-State organizations like the State of California are all incorporated as franchises of the United States of America, Inc.

They and their "National Guards" have already been federalized and deployed in foreign countries, because they are not actually "State" forces. They are private personnel working for State of State franchise corporations, serving at public expense, but not public direction.

If these were actual State National Guards or State Militias they would be operating within the borders of their States of the Union.

What are they doing guarding poppy fields in Afghanistan?

Burn this into your brains: States of States are commercial entities, not actual States.

Imagine that the President of Burger King International, Inc., decides to change the menu and get rid of the old brown and orange logo and change the hours of all the Burger King franchise operations in Chicago, Illinois.

Can he do that?

Yes.

Is it a constitutional issue?

No.

So while it might not be "constitutional" to use the United States Army to police Portland, Oregon, Donald Trump's use (or misuse) of incorporated franchise personnel is not really a Constitutional issue.

What appears to be "our" military is a vendor of military services, instead. What appears to be public is private, and it has been that way since Lincoln.

Private foreign security forces were allowed into this country by the Pinkerton Act, and so, Mr. Trump can deploy his "State" National Guard to Iraq, if he wants to. Other Presidents did. He can also deploy them to the Southern Border or Portland.

He can use them to fulfill the obligations of his service contracts, and obviously, the SCOTUS has nothing to say about that, because it's a private administrative matter -- not a public one. And it is action being taken at sea, not on the land, so the Law of the Land conveniently doesn't apply.

This is what we mean about "evading" their obligations to the People and our Constitutions.

Curiously, the media is finally describing Trump as a "Maritime President" --- and admitting the facts.

Under the Law of the Sea, a captain is the absolute ruler, the Commander-in-Chief, of his vessel, and corporations engaged in maritime commerce are vessels.

So the State of Nevada, Incorporated's National Guards, are Donald Trump's personnel, working for his franchise corporation in Nevada, and short of using them for a blatantly illegal purpose, he gets to deploy them and use them as he sees fit --- not according to the lights of the local franchise managers known as Governors.

And the SCOTUS, created to interpret the Law of the Land, has instead been interpreting and enforcing the Law of the Sea -- statutory laws and codes -- for over 160 years, with no apparent understanding of what they are doing that's odd and wrong.

John Robert's comment about Executive Orders striking at "the marrow of the Republic" is especially ironic. He is working for and being paid by a foreign, for-profit, privately owned corporation operating under the Law of the Sea and has been administering this foreign law his entire career, but he is now blathering about the "Republic".

What Republic? The American Federal Republic that operated under The Constitution for the united States of America has been vacant since 1861. Its "marrow" is long since gone, picked clean by British pirates and privateers, thanks to men like John Roberts, who are either clueless or corrupt or both.

This is not a small matter; it's the elephant in the dining room, now roaming around shoving its tusks into sideboards.

A lawyer has to know that regulations, codes, statutes, and "public policies" are all couched in commercial and corporate terms of art and represent a form of private law, not public law. This means that John Roberts and all the Bar Members down to the

lowest level ambulance chaser, are presumed to know that they have been interpreting and enforcing private corporate "law" for over a century and a half.

They knew. Joe Average on the street didn't. They also knew the difference between a "person" and one of the "people" of this country.

Imagine the Pinkerton Agency with a contract to provide security for Railroad Corporations.... so now imagine the USA, Inc. with a contract to provide security for this country.

What you have been thinking of and relying upon as "your government" is nothing but a foreign commercial corporation providing mercenary services and subcontracting out similar federal civil service contracts to foreign municipal corporations.

There is nothing substantially different about what has been done here compared to what was done by the British Raj in India or by Cecil Rhodes' British South Africa Company--- a self-interested mining company that Parliament promoted to run the government of South Africa.

The problem, throughout, has centered on Britain and their run amok government and on Rome and its endless intrigues, both bankrupt Empires that have colluded and used jurisdictional changes to evade their debts and their responsibilities.

In 1707, during the reign of German-sourced Queen Anne, the English and Scottish Governments vacated the land and went to sea to avoid their debts and judgements against them resulting from the insurance crimes together known as the Bottomry Bonds Scandal and the "loss" of the ships and the cargoes of the Dutch East India Company.

Today, they have sought to pull a similar maneuver and switch from the jurisdiction and Law of the Sea to the jurisdiction and Law of the Air, again, to avoid their debts and judgements against them resulting from their own criminal acts while "at sea".

The rest of us have no choice but to pull the plug.


Make no mistake, this is not about "America" or the "American people", who have been deceived, misused, abused, plundered, and pillaged and suffered betrayal for over 160 years. This is about Britain and Rome, two dead empires that have lingered on as corpses inhabited by dead legal fiction entities.

Putting an end to these violent and criminal usurpers requires exposure of who they are, what they believe, and what they have done from earliest times until now. Their entire modus operandi must come to light, so that people can recognize Evil for what it is, and learn to avoid it, instead of being suckered into it like lambs led to slaughter.

As unnecessary starvation for 40 million people looms in the "land of plenty" definitive actions must be taken. Politicians must be arrested for crimes against humanity. Those operating under foreign law must be corrected and reformed. This transition must be orderly and conscious and everyone needs to work together to get rid of the evil and immoral elements, the bad beliefs, and the corrupt power structures.

Begin by realizing that America is not the problem. America is one of the many, many victims.

Notice to Agents is Notice to Principals; Notice to Principals is Notice to Agents.



Issued by:
Anna Maria Riezinger© -- Fiduciary
The United States of America
In care of: Box 520994
Big Lake, Alaska 99652

October 27, 2025



by:
Hunter Toyofuku-Aki©
Visionary, Architect, Strategist,
Love-onomics© Founder
Treasury Director