

At Sea for 300 Years



International Public Notice

November 17, 2025

The United States of America —
Federation of States



Britain, which hasn't actually operated properly under its Constitutional Government in 300 years, is suffering a "constitutional crisis".

In order for Britain to operate under a Constitutional Monarchy it is necessary for the Monarchy to be run as a lawful government and not as a commercial corporation.

The Constitution in Britain is framed under Land Law, and just as it has done in America and throughout the Commonwealth, Westminster has been operating under the Law of the Sea, instead.

So it's rather silly to speak of any other "constitutional crisis" until the British people wake up and realize that they have been cheated out of a constitutional monarchy from the get-go and that is the more important "constitutional crisis" — but the present situation is worth looking at.

Under British law the Monarchy is to keep its paws off politics and not engage in political influencing and debate. They've done a fairly good job at that over the years, but the present situation pushed things over the edge as the uber-liberal Labour Party government under Prime Minister Starmer actually and openly promoted undermining the monarchy, stripping it of its remaining powers, and beginning a process of incremental seizure of Crown estate land.

This is a serious breach of the quid pro quo allowing both the government of Westminster and the Crown to co-exist. This was an open declaration of intent to get rid of the monarchy entirely in favor of some ill-defined "modern" government totally under the control of Parliament and the political parties.

Starmer might as well have set off a grenade under his own chair.

At last report, the Labour Party has lost more than half of its support in the past 48 hours and the voters have not been migrating to the conventional Conservative Party — they've mostly skipped right over to Nigel Farage's Reform Party.

Chaos reigns. Starmer stepped down — was forced to do so by circumstances beyond his control, and the process is engaged to seat a new governmental body. Most of Britain seems to be heaving a sigh of relief and appears eager to put the Starmer Government in the rearview mirror, with some kicks and boos on their way out.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TnHQQ8mwFQo>

Starmer advocated stripping the monarchy of its remaining powers, replacing the Privy Council with an elected body, dissolving the House of Lords, and seizure of royal estates for development of things like cheap housing projects.

The Labour Party in Britain just committed suicide and did so with aplomb. Leaked documents indicate that Starmer had a well-planned three-part assault on the traditional government all worked out, but he wasn't disclosing the complete destruction of the monarchy to the Public. He was trying to sneak it incrementally using a bait-and-swap strategy.

If Starmer had been successful all the guarantees of the Constitution and all checks and back-ups on the power of Parliament would have been erased. Britain would have switched its allegiance from a monarch to a political party, instead.

Starmers' move is widely interpreted as a violation of public trust and the letter of the law. There's talk of bringing treason charges against him, personally, and outrage that he had "nothing better to do" than attack the Royals when the people of Britain face an overt invasion of immigrants, rampant unemployment, lack of adequate affordable medical care, and in many cases are going without adequate heat and lights and food.

These grim conditions are not overall being reported in the international press but the cost of the political party system and the secretive setting aside of the land jurisdiction government(s) and the constitutional monarchy along with them, is having its due effect — funneling more and more money into elite pockets at the expense of Britain's working class and retirees has a cost.

The British Government, like the United States Government, has not actually been running under a Constitutional government framework, because it hasn't been operating on the jurisdiction of the land.

We've detailed exactly how successive monarchs have given the appearance of upholding the Christian religion and operating as a Constitutional Monarchy, while operating "the Firm" as the actual government and profiting from an unholy trade in baptismal and birth certificates.

The present "crisis" is, therefore, calling forth an effort to change the color of lipstick on a pig, without dealing with the deeper and more important malfunction of the overall government for the past 300 years.

Who knows? Perhaps someone will finally notice that, hey, there's something here that goes deeper and wider than Starmer and his Labour Party allies. There's a dozen generations-worth of operating the British Government outside its constitutional limits, an evasion of contract, trust, and duty, that has decimated the population of the British homelands, taxed the British people to death under False Pretenses, and made a mockery of the justice system.

And now, this has finally resulted in lack of heat and lights and food and medical care for the British people, in the midst of an immigrant invasion created by failed corporation policies.

The owners of the Firm, the Royal Family and their Allies, the Committee of 300, have shown Starmer the butt end of the real power behind the throne — a commercial empire, not a constitutional monarchy — which has sacked the Labour Party in a single night.

We're not sad to see Starmer go. He's like a mini-skirt in an era of Edwardian hems, still trying to push the Globalist Agenda and seemingly unaware that it's already dead.

The British version of "free trade" — free trade for Britain, that is, everyone else pay through the nose -tamped down with plenty of lies and new excuses to promote still more taxation via the Green New Deal, has run its course.

We are all left with a Britain that is confused and woozy and way off course, solving the wrong problems and still failing to do anything that can solve the actual problems.

Let's all just observe one thing, one ineluctable, observable fact of great importance to the people of the Home Islands: Britain as a whole — England, Scotland, Wales, and even Ireland — have been in a free-spiraling population collapse since the Crimean War.

The native populations of the British Isles have failed to replace themselves for over a hundred years, thanks to declining health, severe ravages of war, poor quality food, increased sterility, and other factors brought about by corporate policies too insane and self-serving to contemplate.

To serve it off, the British public is being warned and harangued about the dangers of overpopulation and suffering waves of de facto repopulation via immigration, without which the housing market would collapse along with the British domestic economy, because there simply wouldn't be enough demand for houses and enough people to fill jobs without all those immigrants.

The British Government is now in the position of a farmer who has culled and slaughtered its best and brightest and is left with a decimated "herd" — but instead of minding their business at home and caring for the remainder and building back, they've launched off on the globalist Quick-Fix of importing labor and using this artificial source of demand for goods and services to limp a semblance of any economy along, at the cost of a huge increase in public assistance payments.

The only way that they've been able to sustain this has been via access to the United States and Commonwealth welfare funds, which is causing a domino effect in which they are, indeed, to paraphrase Margaret Thatcher, "running out of other people's money" — a dilemma that she was able to foresee developing in the 1980's.

With the political parties now turning on the monarchy and trying to identify it as the problem, and the monarchy biting back on the political parties, there is one other knotty domestic problem.

Lady Diana Spencer had her own claim to the throne through Mary Tudor which is why the anti-monarchy forces are spreading all these rumors to the effect that the princes don't know who their Daddy or Mummy is. The constant rumble in the British Press about "DNA tests" that always fail full disclosure are the smoke from this fire, as Diana protected her sons, both of them, and gave them a valid claim to the vacated throne quite apart from their Father, there are continuing rumors that Diana was not their Mother.

We view it this way — William and Harry have a valid claim through their parents, period, however things sort out, and the Royal Family would do best by just being honest and letting everything sort out according to the truth — and then, finally, sit down on the throne of England and the throne of Scotland and the throne of Ireland and everybody occupy their own land jurisdictions again. Finally.

Three hundred years away from home is long enough.

Issued by:
Anna Maria Riezinger© — Fiduciary
The United States of America
In care of: Box 520994
Big Lake, Alaska 99652

by Hunter Toyofuku-Aki©
Visionary, Architect, Strategist,
Love-onomics© Founder
Treasury Director

November 17, 2025

